

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 10/029,014	Applicant(s) NAIR ET AL.	
	Examiner Jeffrey T. Barton	Art Unit 1753	

All Participants:
Status of Application: Pending

 (1) Jeffrey T. Barton.

(3) _____.

 (2) Erich Veitenheimer's assistant.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 25 October 2005
Time: 12:30 pm
Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

 Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Examiner called to inquire whether a response to the Office Action of 22 April 2005 had been filed. Mr. Veitenheimer's assistant indicated that the case was abandoned.

Part III.

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)